

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/526,906	10/13/2005	Yasushi Nakayama	1188-0126PUS1	1188-0126PUS1 7846		
2292	7590 07/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	WART KOLASCH &	LU, C C	LU, C CAIXIA			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUF	RCH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1713			
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					γ			
		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/526,9	06	NAKAYAMA ET AL				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Caixia Lu	I	1713				
<u> </u>	The MAILING DATE of this communicat	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with the	e correspondence add	ress			
Period fo	• •							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. In period will apply and we by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION Went, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for plication to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this con NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on 09 June 2006.						
2a)□		☐ This action is i	non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex parte Q</i>	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election i	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
, —	Applicant may not request that any objection		•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	,	•	` '	₹ 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	en received in Applica	ation No				
	3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the	he priority docum	ents have been recei	ived in this National S	tage			
	application from the International		` ''					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the cert	ified copies not recei	ved.				
Attachmen	t(s)		•					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/7/05</u> .	D/SB/08)	5)	Patent Application (PTO-	152)			
, ape			о/ <u>—</u> Опет					

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-3, in the reply filed on June 9, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no reason to divide the catalyst from a compositing containing the catalyst. This is not incorrect since the current restriction is restricting the support of a catalyst from the supported catalyst composition. The support of the catalyst does not contain the catalyst.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Japanese is used in the disclosure on page 8. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kioka et al. (US 5,091,353).

Art Unit: 1713

Kioka teaches the preparation of a hydrocarbon solvent insoluble magnesium/aluminum solid complex support derived from a liquid magnesium compound and an organoaluminum compound (col. 7, lines 15-42). Kioka's Example 1 demonstrates the preparation of magnesium/aluminum solid support by reacting magnesium chloride, 2-ethylhexyl alcohol, triethyl aluminum at 80 °C to provide a solid product and then further treat the solid with diethyl aluminum chloride at 80 °C to provide the magnesium/aluminum solid support (col. 14, Example 1, and col. 20, Table 1). Kioka's magnesium/aluminum solid supports listed in Table 1 meet all of the limitations of the instant claims except the molar ratios of alkoxy/Al are in the range of 2.9 to 4.7 (calculated from the data of Table 1) rather than in the range of 0.05 to 2.0 of the instant claims. However, Kioka does teach the molar ratio of Al/Mg in the range of 0.05 to 1.0 in line 31 of col. 7. Therefore, when more of organoaluminum compound is used in the first and second organoaluminum compound treatments during the preparation of magnesium/aluminum solid support in Example 1, the molar ratio of Al/Mg would increase and the alkoxy/Al ratio would decrease.

Thus, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to employ Kioka's teaching to prepare the magnesium/aluminum solid support with the molar ratio of Al/Mg near the high end of the range of 0.05 to 1.0 in order to maximize the cocatalyst content in the support since such is within the scope of Kioka's teaching and in the absence of any showing criticality and unexpected results. When the molar ratio of Al/Mg is near the high end of the range of 0.05 to 1.0, the molar ratio of alkoxy/Al of the support would be in the range of the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/526,906

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner

Page 4